

Distance sets corresponding to convex bodies

Mihail N. Kolountzakis

March 2003

Abstract

Suppose that $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a 0-symmetric convex body which defines the usual norm

$$\|x\|_K = \sup \{t \geq 0 : x \notin tK\}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let also $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable set of positive upper density ρ . We show that if the body K is not a polytope, or if it is a polytope with many faces (depending on ρ), then the distance set

$$D_K(A) = \{\|x - y\|_K : x, y \in A\}$$

contains all points $t \geq t_0$ for some positive number t_0 . This was proved by Katznelson and Weiss, by Falconer and Marstrand and by Bourgain in the case where K is the Euclidean ball in any dimension. As corollaries we obtain (a) an extension to any dimension of a theorem of Iosevich and Laba regarding distance sets with respect to convex bodies of well-distributed sets in the plane, and also (b) a new proof of a theorem of Iosevich, Katz and Tao about the nonexistence of Fourier spectra for smooth convex bodies.

1 Introduction

Suppose that $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a 0-symmetric convex body which defines the usual norm

$$\|x\|_K = \sup \{t \geq 0 : x \notin tK\}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Define the K -distance set of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ as the set of K -distances that show up in A :

$$D_K(A) = \{\|x - y\|_K : x, y \in A\}.$$

Katznelson and Weiss [3], Falconer and Marstrand [2] (in the plane) and Bourgain [1] have proved that if B is the Euclidean ball and A has positive upper density, i.e., if there is $\rho > 0$ such that there are arbitrarily large cubes Q in which A has a fraction at least ρ of their measure: $|A \cap Q| \geq \rho|Q|$, then $D_B(A)$ contains all numbers $t \geq t_0$, for some t_0 . In this paper we study the following question: for which other convex bodies K in place of B is this true? We obtain that if this property (of eventually all numbers showing up in $D_K(A)$) fails for some set A with positive upper density then the body K is necessarily a polytope, and with a number of faces that is bounded above by a number that is inversely proportional to the density of A .

To state our results more precisely let us call a measure $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ϵ -good if for some $R > 0$ we have $|\hat{\mu}(x)| \leq \epsilon$ for $|x| \geq R$. In what follows the Fourier Transform of a measure is defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu(x).$$

Theorem 1 Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ has upper density equal to $\epsilon > 0$ and that the 0-symmetric convex body K affords $C_d\epsilon$ -good probability measures supported on its boundary (the constant C_d depends on the dimension only). Then $D_K(A)$ contains all positive real numbers beyond a point.

This is complemented by the following result.

Theorem 2 Suppose K is a 0-symmetric convex body. Then

- (a) If K is a polytope with N non-parallel face directions then it does not afford ϵ -good probability measures on its boundary if $\epsilon < 1/(\sqrt{2}N)$.
- (b) If K is a polytope with N non-parallel face directions then it affords $(\frac{1}{N} + \delta)$ -good probability measures on its boundary for all $\delta > 0$.

If K is not a polytope then it affords ϵ -good probability measures on its boundary for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorems 1 and 2 allow us to prove the following result, a weaker version of which was the motivation for this work and was proved in dimension 2 by Iosevich and Laba [5]. A set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *well-distributed* if there is a constant $r > 0$ such that every cube of side r contains at least one point of Λ . And a set $D \in \mathbb{R}$ is called *separated* if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|x - y| \geq \epsilon$ for any two distinct $x, y \in D$.

Corollary 1 Suppose that Λ is a well-distributed subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and K is a 0-symmetric convex body. If $D_K(\Lambda) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ has infinitely many gaps of length at least $\epsilon > 0$ then K is a polytope.

Remark 1 It was proved in [5] that if Λ is a well-distributed set in the plane and $D_K(\Lambda)$ is separated then K is a polygon.

Remark 2 By taking $K = (-1/2, 1/2)^d$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$ we see that there are indeed polytopes and well-distributed sets for which $D_K(\Lambda)$ is separated. It is not clear which polytopes can play this role.

Proof of Corollary 1. Suppose that the intervals $(x_k, x_k + \epsilon)$, $x_k \rightarrow +\infty$, do not intersect $D_K(\Lambda)$. Let A be the subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ that arises if we put a copy of the body $(\epsilon/10)K$ centered at each point of Λ . By the fact that Λ is well-distributed we obtain that A has positive upper density and for any two points $x, y \in A$, we can write $x = \lambda + x_1$, $y = \mu + y_1$, with $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, $x_1, y_1 \in (\epsilon/10)K$. It follows that $x - y = (\lambda - \mu) + (x_1 - y_1)$ and

$$\frac{1}{5}\epsilon \geq \|x_1 - y_1\|_K \geq |\|x - y\|_K - \|\lambda - \mu\|_K|,$$

which implies that $D_K(A)$ does not intersect the intervals $(x_k + \epsilon/5, x_k + 4\epsilon/5)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, hence $D_K(A)$ cannot contain a half-line. From Theorems 1 and 2 it follows that K is a polytope, and with a number of faces which is bounded above by a function of the upper density of A .

□

From Corollary 1 one can easily show that smooth convex bodies do not have Fourier spectra, a fact first proved by Iosevich, Katz and Tao [4], who used a different approach. A bounded open domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to have the set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ as a *Fourier spectrum* if the collection of exponentials

$$E(\Lambda) = \{\exp(2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

is an orthogonal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$. It is easy to see from the orthogonality that any two distinct points λ and μ of a Fourier spectrum must satisfy

$$\widehat{\chi_\Omega}(\lambda - \mu) = 0, \quad (1)$$

and that the set Λ is necessarily a well-distributed set.

Corollary 2 (Iosevich, Katz and Tao [4])

If K is a smooth, 0-symmetric convex body it does not have a Fourier spectrum.

Proof of Corollary 2. Suppose Λ is a Fourier spectrum of K . It is a well known fact (see, for example, [4]) that if ξ is a zero of $\widehat{\chi_K}$ and $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$\|\xi\|_{K^\circ} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{d\pi}{4} \right) + k\pi + o(1), \quad (\xi \rightarrow \infty),$$

where K° is the dual body (which is also smooth), d is the dimension and k is an integer.

Let $R > 0$ be such that any zero ξ of $\widehat{\chi_K}$, outside a cube of side R centered at the origin, satisfies

$$\|\xi\|_{K^\circ} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{d\pi}{4} \right) + k\pi + \theta, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}, |\theta| < \pi/10).$$

We also take R to be large enough so as to be certain that we find at least one Λ -point in any cube of side R . (We can do this since Λ is well-distributed.)

Let now the set Λ' arise by keeping only one point of Λ in each cube of the type $n + (-R/2, R/2)^d$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ having all its coordinates even. We keep nothing outside these cubes. It follows that Λ' is also a well distributed set and that for any two distinct points λ and μ of Λ' , μ is not contained in the cube of side R centered at λ . From (1) we obtain that for any two distinct points $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda'$ we have

$$\|\lambda - \mu\|_{K^\circ} = k\pi + \theta, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}, |\theta| \leq \pi/5).$$

This means that the set of K° distances $D_{K^\circ}(\Lambda')$ has infinitely many gaps of length at least $3\pi/5$, so by Corollary 1 K° should be a polytope, which is a contradiction.

□

2 Proofs of the main theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.

Notation: $B_1(0)$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and ω_d is its volume. In what follows the dimension $d > 1$ is fixed and constants may depend on it.

As in [1], whose method we follow, it suffices to prove the following theorem.

Theorem A Suppose $\epsilon, R > 0$ and let K be a 0-symmetric convex body contained in $B_1(0)$ and σ be a probability measure on ∂K such that

$$|\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)| \leq \eta(\epsilon) = \frac{\omega_d}{80 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} \epsilon, \quad \text{if } |\xi| \geq R. \quad (2)$$

Then there is $J = J(\epsilon, R)$ such that if $A \subseteq B_1(0)$ is a set of measure ϵ and $0 < t_j < 1$, $j \geq 1$, is a sequence with $t_{j+1} < \frac{1}{2}t_j$ then there is $j \leq J$ such that $t_j \in D_K(A)$.

Theorem A implies Theorem 1: Suppose that K is a 0-symmetric convex body, and $\sigma \in M(\partial K)$ is a probability measure on its surface which satisfies $|\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)| \leq \eta(\epsilon)$ if $|\xi| \geq R$, and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable set with upper density larger than $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose also that Theorem 1 fails and there is a sequence $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$ tending to infinity all of whose elements are not in $D_K(A)$.

Renaming, we pass to lacunary subsequence of x_j such that $x_{j+1} > 2x_j$ with $J = J(C_d\epsilon, R)$ terms. Let Q be a cube of side-length greater than $10x_J$ for which $|Q \cap A| \geq \epsilon|Q|$. Scaling everything down to $B_1(0)$ and renaming the scaled x_j as t_j (and reversing their order so as to have a decreasing sequence) we now have a set of measure $\geq C\epsilon$ contained in $B_1(0)$ and a sequence $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_J$, with $t_{j+1} < \frac{1}{2}t_j$.

By Theorem A there exists a $j \leq J$ such that t_j appears as a K -distance in the scaled-down set A , which implies that the corresponding $x_{j'}$ appears as a K -distance in the set A , a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem A. It is enough to show that there is $j \leq J(\epsilon, R)$ such that, for $t = t_j$,

$$\int \int f(x)f(x+ty)dx d\sigma(y) > 0,$$

where f is the indicator function of A . The integral on the left may be rewritten as a constant $C(t)$ times

$$\int \left| \widehat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 \widehat{\sigma}(t\xi) d\xi. \quad (3)$$

The integral in (3) is broken into three parts

$$I_1 = \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{\delta}{t}} \cdot, \quad I_2 = \int_{\frac{\delta}{t} < |\xi| < \frac{1}{\delta t}} \cdot, \quad I_3 = \int_{\frac{1}{\delta t} \leq |\xi|} \cdot.$$

where δ will be determined later.

We shall now need three lemmas to control the quantities I_1, I_3 , and I_2 .

Lemma 1 *Let K be a 0-symmetric convex body contained in the unit ball $B_1(0)$. Let also σ be a probability measure on ∂K and $A \subseteq B_1(0)$ be a measurable set with indicator function f . Then, writing*

$$I_1(t, \delta) = \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{\delta}{t}} \left| \widehat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 \widehat{\sigma}(t\xi) d\xi,$$

we have

$$I_1(t, \delta) \geq \frac{\omega_d}{8 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} |A|^2, \quad (\text{if } t \leq 4\pi\delta). \quad (4)$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Since f and σ are supported in $B_1(0)$ it follows that $|\nabla_u \widehat{f}| \leq 2\pi|A|$ and $|\nabla_u \widehat{\sigma}| \leq 2\pi$, for all directions $u \in S^{d-1}$. Hence

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) \geq \frac{|A|}{2}, \quad \text{if } |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi},$$

and

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\xi) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{if } |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(t, \delta) &\geq \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi}} \left| \widehat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 \widehat{\sigma}(t\xi) \, d\xi \\
&\geq \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi}} \left(\frac{|A|}{2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} \, d\xi \\
&\geq \frac{1}{8} |A|^2 \left| \left\{ |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \right\} \right| \\
&\geq \frac{\omega_d}{8 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} |A|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2 Suppose that σ is any measure for which $|\widehat{\sigma}(x)| \leq \eta$ provided $|x| \geq R$ and write

$$I_3(t, \delta) = \int_{|\xi| \geq \frac{1}{\delta t}} |g(\xi)|^2 \widehat{\sigma}(t\xi) \, d\xi,$$

where $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$|I_3(t, \delta)| \leq \eta \int |g|^2, \quad (\text{if } \delta \leq \frac{1}{R}). \quad (5)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3(t, \delta)| &\leq \eta \int_{|\xi| \geq \frac{R}{t}} |g(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\
&\leq \eta \int |g|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3 If $t, \delta, \theta > 0$, $\delta < 1$, σ is a finite measure with total variation at most 1, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\epsilon = \int |f|^2$,

$$I_2(t, \delta) = \int_{\frac{\delta}{t} < |\xi| < \frac{1}{\delta t}} \left| \widehat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 \widehat{\sigma}(t\xi) \, d\xi,$$

and $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a sequence with

$$0 < t_j < 1 \text{ and } t_{j+1} < \frac{1}{2} t_j$$

then there is an index

$$j \leq \frac{2}{\log 2} \theta^{-1} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{1}{\delta}$$

such that

$$|I_2(t, \delta)| \leq \theta \epsilon^2. \quad (6)$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Note first that $\log \frac{1}{t_{j+1}} - \log \frac{1}{t_j} \leq \log 2$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ let $N(x)$ be the number of intervals $\left(\frac{\delta}{t_j}, \frac{1}{\delta t_j}\right)$ to which x belongs. It follows that

$$N(x) \leq \frac{2}{\log 2} \log \frac{1}{\delta}$$

as $x \in \left(\frac{\delta}{t_j}, \frac{1}{\delta t_j}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$\log \frac{1}{t_j} - \log \frac{1}{\delta} < \log x < \log \frac{1}{t_j} + \log \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

For any positive integer J we have thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^J |I_2(t_j, \delta)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \widehat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 \widehat{\sigma}(t\xi) N(|\xi|) d\xi \leq \frac{2}{\log 2} \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot \epsilon,$$

by $\int \left| \widehat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi = \epsilon$ and $|\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)| \leq 1$. Hence, if we let

$$J = \frac{2}{\log 2} \theta^{-1} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{1}{\delta},$$

we obtain that there is $j \leq J$ for which

$$|I_2(t_j, \delta)| \leq \theta \epsilon^2.$$

□

Proof of Theorem A (continued). Let $\delta = 1/R$ and $t \leq 4\pi\delta$. By Lemma 1 we get

$$I_1(t, \delta) \geq \frac{\omega_d}{8 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} \epsilon^2. \quad (7)$$

By Lemma 2, applied to $g = \widehat{f}$ we get

$$|I_3(t, \delta)| \leq \eta \epsilon = \frac{\omega_d}{80 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} \epsilon^2. \quad (8)$$

Inequalities (7) and (8) hold for all $t \leq 4\pi/R$.

Define $j_0 = j_0(R)$ by $t_{j_0} \leq 4\pi/R$ (clearly $j_0 \leq C \log R$, as $t_1 \leq 1$) and $\theta = \frac{\omega_d}{80 \cdot 4^d \pi^d}$, and apply Lemma 3 to the sequence $t_{j_0}, t_{j_0+1}, \dots$. It follows that there is j with

$$j \leq j_0 + 10\theta^{-1} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{1}{\delta} \leq C \frac{\log R}{\epsilon} =: J(\epsilon, R)$$

such that

$$|I_2(t_j, \delta)| \leq \theta \epsilon^2. \quad (9)$$

Putting together (7), (8) and (9) we obtain for this j

$$\begin{aligned} I(t_j) &\geq I_1(t_j, \delta) - |I_2(t_j, \delta)| - |I_3(t_j, \delta)| \\ &\geq \frac{\omega_d}{8 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} \epsilon^2 - \frac{\omega_d}{80 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} \epsilon^2 - \frac{\omega_d}{80 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} \epsilon^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\omega_d}{40 \cdot 4^d \pi^d} \epsilon^2 \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\nu_j \in DK(A)$, as we had to show. The proof of Theorem A and therefore of Theorem 1 is complete. $\square$

We denote by S^{d-1} the surface of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and whenever Ω is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by σ_Ω its surface measure. Also, if $x, y \in S^{d-1}$, by $\text{dist}(x, y)$ we understand their geodesic distance in S^{d-1} , in other words the angle formed by x , 0 and y .

Lemma 4 *Let $\Theta \subseteq S^{d-1}$ satisfy $\Theta = -\Theta$, and $\delta > 0$. Suppose also that $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex body and $D \subseteq \partial K$ is a measurable subset of the boundary on which almost every point has a normal vector $\xi \in \Theta$. Let also σ_D be the surface measure of ∂K restricted to D . Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\sigma_D}(t\eta) = 0, \quad (10)$$

uniformly for

$$\eta \in \mathcal{N} = \left\{ x \in S^{d-1} : \text{dist}(x, \Theta) \geq \delta \right\}.$$

Proof. If the set D is contained in a hyperplane then Θ may be taken to be the set $\{\pm\theta_0\}$. If, in addition, it is a rectangle, one gets the validity of (10) by direct calculation of $\widehat{\sigma_D}$, which tends to 0 except in the normal direction θ_0 and does uniformly so if one keeps away from the normal direction by any fixed angle. If D is not a rectangle in its hyperplane one gets (10) by approximating the set D with a union of finitely many disjoint rectangles. Further, if D is polytopal, then it consists of a finite number of flat pieces D_i and we can represent σ_D as the sum of σ_{D_i} , the surface measure restricted to D_i . Since each of the $\widehat{\sigma_{D_i}}(t\eta)$ goes to 0 uniformly in $\mathcal{N}$ so does their sum $\widehat{\sigma_D}(t\eta)$.

To any measure $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta \in S^{d-1}$ we associate the projection measure on the line of η , $\mu^\eta \in M(\mathbb{R})$, defined by

$$\mu^\eta(A) = \mu(A\eta + \eta^\perp), \quad (A \text{ a Borel subset of } \mathbb{R}), \quad (11)$$

where $\eta^\perp$ is the hyperplane orthogonal to η . By Fubini's theorem we see easily that

$$\widehat{\mu^\eta}(t) = \widehat{\mu}(t\eta), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (12)$$

We now use the fact that for all $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ there exists a polytopal approximation P of D such that

- all normals to P are at distance $\leq \delta/2$ from Θ ,
- for all $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that the measures σ_D^η and σ_P^η are in fact L^1 functions and

$$\|\sigma_D^\eta - \sigma_P^\eta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \epsilon, \quad (\eta \in \mathcal{N}). \quad (13)$$

To prove this one first shows this under the assumption that the normal vector is a continuous function on D and then uses the well known theorem (see, for example, [6, p. 23]) which says that we can throw away a part of the surface of arbitrarily small measure so that the normal is continuous on what remains.

From (13) and from (12) it follows that $|\widehat{\sigma_D}(t\eta) - \widehat{\sigma_P}(t\eta)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$. Since ϵ is arbitrary Lemma 4 follows for the general convex surface piece D from the fact that it holds for

polytopal surfaces.

□

Proof of Theorem 2. (a) Suppose that K is a symmetric polytope with N non-parallel faces and that μ is a probability measure on ∂K which is ϵ -good. We will show that $\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}}$.

The distinct direction vectors $\pm\theta_1, \dots, \pm\theta_N$ are all the normals that appear on the faces of K . Since all faces are partitioned into those which are normal to θ_1 , normal to θ_2 , and so on, it follows that at least one of these pairs of faces has total μ -measure at least $1/N$, say the pair of faces normal to θ_1 .

The projection measure (see (11)) μ^{θ_1} has then one or two nonnegative point masses c_1 and c_2 of total mass at least $1/N$. But, if c_i , $i \in I$, are all the point masses of the finite measure μ^{θ_1} , Wiener's Theorem tells us that

$$\sum_{i \in I} |c_i|^2 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |\widehat{\mu^{\theta_1}}(t)|^2 dt.$$

From (12) and the assumption that μ is ϵ -good it follows that the right hand side above is $\leq \epsilon^2$, from which it follows that

$$\epsilon^2 \geq c_1^2 + c_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2N^2},$$

since $c_1 + c_2 \geq 1/N$. This is the claimed inequality.

(b) For the remaining part of Theorem 2 we must show that whenever K is not a polytope or is a polytope with at least N non-parallel faces, we can find, for any $\delta > 0$, a probability measure on ∂K which is $(\frac{1}{N} + \delta)$ -good. For this we recall that almost all points x on ∂K have a unique tangent hyperplane T_x , whose outward normal unit vector we denote by $n(x)$. This map $x \rightarrow n(x)$ is called the Gauss map and, through it, a measure is defined on S^{d-1} , called the area measure S_K :

$$S_K(A) = \sigma_{\partial K}\{x \in \partial K : n(x) \in A\}, \quad \text{for any Borel set } A \subseteq S^{d-1}.$$

It is well known that the 0-symmetric convex body is a polytope with N pairs of opposite faces if and only if the measure S_K is a symmetric measure supported on N pairs of opposite points on the sphere S^{d-1} . Therefore the support of the area measure of K contains at least $2N$ points $\pm\theta_1, \dots, \pm\theta_N$. For half of these points, the points $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$, we choose an open neighborhood $N_i \subset S^{d-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, around each of them so that all these neighborhoods are disjoint, and we call $\delta_0 > 0$ the minimum geodesic distance between any two of them. Let then $D_i = n^{-1}(N_i) \subseteq \partial K$ be the parts of the boundary almost all points of which get mapped into N_i via the Gauss map $n(x)$. This implies that all points in D_i have a normal in N_i , and the D_i all have positive surface measure.

Define now the probability measure $\mu \in M(\partial K)$ to be an appropriate multiple of surface measure on each D_i , so as to have total mass $1/N$ on each D_i . Call μ_i the measure μ restricted to D_i . From Lemma 4 it follows that $\widehat{\mu_i}(t\eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for all η which are distance at least $\delta_0/10$ from N_i . And for all x we have trivially $|\widehat{\mu_i}(x)| \leq 1/N$.

Let now $\delta > 0$ and choose $R > 0$ large enough so that for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ we have $|\widehat{\mu_i}(t\eta)| \leq \delta/(N-1)$ if $|t| > R$ and η has distance more than $\delta_0/10$ from N_i . If now $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is an arbitrary vector with $|x| > R$ the vector $\eta = \frac{1}{|x|}x$ can have distance at most $\delta_0/10$ from at most one neighborhood N_i , say from N_1 . It follows that

$$|\widehat{\mu}(x)| \leq |\widehat{\mu_1}(x)| + \dots + |\widehat{\mu_N}(x)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{N} + (N-1)\frac{1}{N-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

Bibliography

- [1] J. Bourgain, A Szemerédi type theorem for sets of positive density in $\mathbb{R}^k$, Israel J. Math. **54** (1986), pp. 307–316.
- [2] K.J. Falconer and J.M. Marstrand, Plane sets of positive density at infinity contain all large distances, Bull. London Math. Soc. **18** (1986), pp. 471-474.
- [3] H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson and B. Weiss, Ergodic theory and configurations in sets of positive density, in *Mathematics of Ramsey theory*, pp. 184—198, Algorithms Combin., **5**, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [4] A. Iosevich, N. Katz and T. Tao, Convex bodies with a point of curvature do not have Fourier bases, Amer. J. Math., **123** (2001), pp. 115-120.
- [5] A. Iosevich and I. Laba, Discrete subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the associated distance sets, 2002, preprint.
- [6] F. Morgan, *Geometric measure theory: a beginner's guide*, Academic Press, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, KNOSSOS AVE., 714 09 IRAKLIO, GREECE.
E-MAIL: kolount@member.ams.org